Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/501,834	HARRIS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ANGELA BERTAGNA	1637
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>71</u>		
(1) <u>Angela Bertagna</u> .	(3)	
(2) Elizabeth Kaytor (Applicant's Rep).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>5 June 2009</u>	Time: <u>12:30 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 2, 5, 8-13, 16-19, 29-37, 40, 43-60, 103-108		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner called to confirm that: (a) Applicants intended to elect Group II, claim 103, which is drawn to a method for prosecution on the merits and (b) Applicant are aware that the election of Group II will result in claims 1, 2, 5, 8-13, 16-19, 29-37, 40, 43-60, and 104-108 being withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to a non-elected invention. Applicant's representative confirmed the election of Group II. The Examiner indicated that a non-final office action addressing the merits of claim 103 will be prepared.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/ANGELA BERTAGNA/ Examiner, Art Unit 1637	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)